



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/646,924	09/25/2000	Eric Raspe	MERCK-2157	2859	
23599	7590 12/15/2004		EXAM	INER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			CHUNDURU, SI	CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA	
SUITE 1400	2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1637	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/646,924	RASPE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner .	Art Unit				
	Suryaprabha Chunduru	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Se	entember 2004					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 14-30 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-12 and 14-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	amilier. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/646,924

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicants' response to the office action, filed on September 27, 2004 has been entered and considered.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-12, 14-30 are pending.

## Response to arguments

- 3. Applicants' response to the office action are fully considered and found not persuasive.
- 4. The following is the rejection made in the previous office action under 35 USC 112, first paragraph:

Claims 1,3-12, 14-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The current claims are drawn to a method for screening a substance for usefulness in the treatment of lipid metabolism dysfunction which comprises a RORα receptor or a response element of RORα located at position –198 to +24, and a overlapping position –108 to +24. The claims recite the position of a response element of the apo C-III promoter involved in the regulation of the apo C-III gene. The specification does not support structural identity or sequence of the response element that specifically regulates the expression of apo C-III gene, It is noted that in Fiers v. Sugano (25 USPQ2d, 1601), the Fed. Cir. concluded that "...if inventor is unable to envision detailed chemical structure of DNA sequence coding for specific protein, as well as method of obtaining it, then conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, that is, until after gene has been isolated...conception of any chemical substance, requires definition of that substance other than by its functional utility."

In the instant application, the response element of ROR $\alpha$  lacks such structural limitation and is not defined or described in the specification. The recitation of the position of a response element does not provide what structural limitation is responsible for the apo C-III gene expression. Further, the positions of a response element would comprise any sequence with any sequence variation by addition, deletion, substitution or insertion of one or more bases, which could result in substantially a different regulatory effect on the apoC-III gene.

Also, in <u>Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar</u> (19 USPQ2d 1111, CAFC 1991), it was concluded that: "...applicant must also convey, with reasonable clarity to those skilled in art, that applicant, as of filing date sought, was in possession of invention, with invention being, for purposes of "written description" inquiry, whatever is presently claimed."

In the instant case, the lack of structural limitation of the said  $ROR\alpha$  constitutes lack of wrriten description.

### Response to arguments:

With regard to the above rejection, Applicants' arguments have been fully considered and found not persuasive. Applicants argue that the promoter genomic elements described in the specification are well known to an ordinary skilled artisan at the time the application was filed and directs examiner's attention to the specific publications regarding apoC-III promoter and argues that the specification discloses how to obtain promoter fragments based on the known sequences. Applicants' further argue that according to MPEP 2163, nucleotide sequence

Application/Control Number: 09/646,924

Art Unit: 1637

Page 4

information is not necessary to establish possession of the invention. Applicants arguments are fully considered and found not persuasive because of the following reasons:

The independent claims recite a location of response element of RORα in the apo C-III promoter region (position -198 to +24) without any start or end points, that is wherein the Apo CIII region these specific positions correspond to. Therefore promoter region rejected in the instant claims lack support for the structural limitation (specific sequence identity), that is, where in the apo C-III promoter region does these positions correspond to. Where in the claimed promoter region the start (5'-end) and end (3'-end) positions are located and how the recited positions are numbered or organized in the claimed apo C-III promoter. The structural identity is necessary because, it is noted from the published literature that the apo C-III promoter elements are numbered differently. For example, in the published Genbank accession number X13367 (see the enclosed sequence numbering), it is noted that the sequence starts at 5'-end and numbering starts with position 1 and ends with 3'-end with position number 1381. With regard to the Reue et al. (J Biol. Chem., Vol. 263, pp. 6857-6864, 1988) disclosure the apo C-III gene 5' –flanking region starts with -812 and ends with -50 (see Fig.1). Thus the recited positions in the instant claims do not match with any of these sequence positions published and thus these recited specific positions lack a support for its structural limitations and do not have any support from the published literature. Further the overlapping positions –108/+24 of the apo C-III promoter location corresponding to the response element of RORα in the location of -198 to+24 of the apo C-III promoter is not described.

Therefore, the rejection is maintained herein for claims 1, 3-12, 14-30.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 1637

No claims are allowable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 571-272-0783. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and - for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Suryaprabha Chunduru December 1, 2004

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER